UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TERRI ORTIZ, as an individual consumer and on behalf of ADRIAN ALEXANDER ORTIZ-FLORES, a minor; CHUCK TIDWELL, as an individual consumer and on behalf of WILLIAM TIDWELL, a minor; KRISTIN MATTHIS, as an individual consumer and on behalf of KENDALL MATTHIS, a minor and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

MCNEIL-PPC, INC., a New Jersey corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 07 CV 0678 BEN (CAB)

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO FURTHER MODIFY DATES SET FORTH IN THE JANUARY 25, 2008 SCHEDULING ORDER

[Doc. No. 36]

On January 25, 2008, this Court issued a scheduling order. [Doc. No. 21]. On April16, 2008, this Court entered an Order Granting Joint Motion to Modify Dates Set Forth in the January 25, 2008 Scheduling Order [Doc. No. 34].. On May 6, 2008, the parties filed a joint motion to further continue certain dates set forth in that scheduling order. GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, the joint motion is GRANTED. The January 25, 2008 Scheduling Order shall be modified as follows:

1. Any motion for class certification must be <u>filed</u> on or before <u>June 17, 2008</u>. Please be advised that counsel for the moving party must obtain a motion hearing date from the law clerk for the judge who will hear the motion. Be further advised that the period of time between the date you request a motion date and the hearing date may vary from judge to another. Please plan accordingly. For example, you may need to contact the judge's law clerk in advance of the motion cut-off to assess the availability of the Court's calendar. Failure of counsel to timely request a motion date may result in the motion not being heard.

Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of the judge who will hear the motion. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without such leave of court. Briefs and memoranda exceeding ten (10) pages in length shall have a table of contents and a table of authorities cited.

- 2. The Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be continued to <u>June 17, 2008</u>, at <u>3:00</u> <u>p.m.</u> in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Cathy Ann Bencivengo. Counsel shall submit confidential settlement statements directly to chambers no later than <u>June 10, 2008</u>. Each party's settlement statement shall set forth the party's statement of the case, identify controlling legal issues, concisely set out issues of liability and damages, and shall set forth the party's settlement position, including the last offer or demand made by that party, and a separate statement of the offer or demand the party is prepared to make at the settlement conference. Settlement conference briefs shall not be filed with the Clerk of the Court, nor shall they be served on opposing counsel.
- 3. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16.3, all party representatives and claims adjusters for insured defendants with full and unlimited authority¹ to negotiate and enter into a binding

¹ "Full authority to settle" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party. Pitman v. Brinker Intl., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-486 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference includes that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Id. at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not

settlement, as well as the principal attorney(s) responsible for the litigation, must be present and legally and factually prepared to discuss and resolve the case at the mandatory settlement conference. Retained outside corporate counsel shall not appear on behalf of a corporation as the party who has the authority to negotiate and enter into a settlement. Fialure to attend the conference will be considered grounds for sanctions. 4. All other dates set forth in the January 25, 2008 Scheduling Order [Doc.No.21] shall remain the same. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: May 15, 2008 United States Magistrate Judge adequate. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590 (8th Cir. 2001).